

REMARKS

Claim Objections

The applicant notes that the Office, in its Office Action Summary identifies claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, and 22-23 as subject to an objection. No objections are recited in the Detailed Office Action. The undersigned Attorney for the Applicant called Examiner Jackson for clarification on November 8, 2007. Examiner Jackson noted that this was a typographical error and noted that these claims are allowed.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The applicant has carefully reviewed the Office's rejection of claim 21. While the applicant respectfully disagrees with the Offices allegations respecting claim 21, the applicant herein cancels claim 21.

Applicant believes the above amendments and remarks to be fully responsive to the Office Action, thereby placing this application in condition for allowance. No new matter is added. Applicant requests speedy reconsideration, and further requests that Examiner contact its attorney by telephone, facsimile, or email for quickest resolution, if there are any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

/Andrew P. Cernota, Reg. No. 52,711/

Cus. No. 42716
Maine & Asmus
PO Box 3445
Nashua, NH 03061-3445
Tel. No. (603) 886-6100, Fax. No. (603) 886-4796
Patents@maineandasmus.com

Vernon C. Maine, Reg. No. 37,389
Andrew P. Cernota, Reg. No. 52,711
David A. Rardin, Reg. No. 52,153
Attorneys for Applicant